UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/576,177 | 04/19/2006 | Yoshinari Higaki | 0553-0494 | 7224 | |
| 26568 COOK ALEX I | 7590 04/20/200 LTD | EXAMINER | | | |
| SUITE 2850 | | WONG, TINA MEI SENG | | | |
| 200 WEST AD. CHICAGO, IL | := := | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2874 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 04/20/2009 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|
| 10/576,177 | HIGAKI ET AL. | |
| | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |

| | TINA M. WONG | 2874 | |
|---|---|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>13 April 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | LLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this An no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f). | g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII | n. LED WITHIN TWO |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wind AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| | out prior to the data of filing a brief | will not be entered be | 201122 |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor | nsideration and/or search (see NOTw); | ΓE below); | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti | ter form for appeal by materially red | ducing or simplifying tl | ne issues for |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | corresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | |
| 4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment (l | PTOL-324). |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all | | timely filed amendmer | t canceling the |
| non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | l be entered and an e | xplanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se | al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. | t does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| | /Tina M Wong/ Primary Examiner, Art U | Init 2874 | |
| | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner's rejection points to all the necessary elements required of 35 USC 103(a).